



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,797	09/13/2001	John Walker	017227-0175	9643
22428 7	590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			KIM, YUNSOO	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1644	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A. C.	09/857,797	WALKER, JOHN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yunsoo Kim	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-38 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Paper No(s) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/857,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 23-38 are pending.

2. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1, 4-18, 20-22 and addition of claims 24-38 in the reply filed on 9/2/05 has been acknowledged.

- 3. Examiner has found applicable arts while updating the search, the new ground of rejection has set forth.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 23-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,403,586 as is evidenced by WO 96/11711 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,528,058 and WO 99/02180.

The '586 patent teaches a vaccine composition comprising LHRH, saponin adjuvant and carrier suitable for human or veterinary use (claims 1, 9-10).

The '586 patent further teaches combining polymers (i.e. DEAE-dextran) and Quil-A saponin and saponin adjuvant encompasses ISCOM (col. 5- 6, overlapping paragraph lines)

As is evidenced by the 711 publication, the typical ISCOM comprises Quil-A, cholesterol, and phospholipids with the ratio of 1:1:1 and can be added to immunogen (i.e. protein, p. 2, lines 8-10).

The '586 patent does not teach specific molar ratio of DEAE-dextran to immunostimulating complex being 50-300, preferably about 125, or 10-100mg DEAE-dextran to 80-800ug of immunostimulating complex or LHRH-diphtheria toxoid conjugate.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

However the '058 patent particularly teaches the molar ratio about 50-300, the saponin component at concentration of greater than 50ug-1mg and the polycationic polyeletrolyte (i.e. DEAE-dextran) of 1 mg to 150mg (equivalent to molar ratio of 20-150, col. 4, lines 18-42, claims, claims 13-16). This range meets the economic considerations (col. 4, lines 25-28).

The '180 publication teaches that the LHRH- diphtheria toxoid (DT) conjugated are more immunogenic and effective in fertility control and LHRH has been used to control reproduction (p. 1, lines 21-26, p. 9, lines 1-6, abstract, p. 12-13 overlapping paragraph).

Therefore, one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the molar ratio of saponin component to DEAE-dextran taught by the '058 patent and LHRH-DT taught by the '180 publication to the LHRH vaccine composition comprising saponin and DEAE-dextran taught by the '586 patent because the molar ratio will provide more cost effective saponin-DEAE-dextran adjuvant without compromising efficacy of adjuvant (col. 4, lines 25-28) and LHRH-DT makes better immunogen and more effective in fertility control.

From the teachings of references, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of the ordinary in the art at the time of invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

- 6. No claims are allowable.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yunsoo Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yunsoo Kim

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

November 2, 2005

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600